

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/874,434	ZHONG ET AL.
	Examiner José A Fortuna	Art Unit 1731

All Participants:

(1) José A Fortuna.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Glen D. Barnes.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called applicants' representative to propose that the aspect ratio gap were added to the claims to define what is to be considered a high aspect ratio. Mr. Barnes agreed with the proposed changes, see examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)